



Fall 2013 was an especially gratifying time for us here at the Boisi Center.


Most importantly,

we held a major conference in support of the sesquicentennial celebrations at Boston College, on the theme of religious diversity and the common good. Outstanding scholars such as Reza Aslan, Laurie Patton, Randall Kennedy, Jonathan Sarna, and Marie Perle appeared during the day-long event, which featured a keynote address by E.J. Dionne. The evening session, which focused on institutions that work for the common good in the Boston area, brought together the leaders of the Boston public schools, Boston Public Library, the Islamic Center of Boston, Harvard's Memorial Church, and the Health and Social Services division of the Catholic Archdiocese. We were thrilled to be assigned such an important event in BC's history, and to do our part to celebrate the century-and-a-half accomplishments of this institution.

Our "regular" events were also terrific this semester. We were especially pleased to host Mary McAleese, the former president of Ireland, as well as her husband Martin. Their moving account of the Irish peace process was fascinating from beginning to end. (I encourage everyone to read the transcript or listen to their presentations, which are available on our web site.) Other lunch talks were given

by Drew Christiansen, S.J., who has been working on the tradition of nonviolence in Catholic social teaching; and Jonathan Trejo-Mathys, who is working on a book extending the Kantian and Habermasian traditions in moral philosophy to the international community.

During the term I was also able to finish, and send to my publisher (Beacon Press), a book on which I have been working for the last three or four years. Now entitled



On November 13 the Boisi Center organized the university's national academic event of its sesquicentennial celebration, on the theme of Religious Diversity and the Common Good. The daytime portion of the conference, open to the public and well-attended by an audience that filled the Heights Room throughout the day, featured thirteen distinguished scholars from the fields of history, sociology, law, government, theology and religious studies. They were divided into two panels, entitled "Historical Trajectories 1863-2013" and "Contemporary Issues and Approaches," followed by a keynote address by journalist and professor E.J. Dionne. A private dinner for religious, civic and academic leaders closed with a panel discussion on "Working for the Common Good in Boston" that featured diverse leaders of influential local organizations. A summary of each session follows here; speaker biographies and complete transcripts, along with photographs and audio and video recordings of the entire event, are available at bc.edu/boisi-rdcg.

Boisi Center associate director Erik Owens opened the conference with introductory remarks on the fundamental tension between unity and diversity, and the problem of the common good it reveals. "Is there such a thing as the common good?" he asked. "In what might it consist, when we disagree on so many things about what is true and good, and what it takes for individuals and communities to flourish?" Though religions and politics have provided answers to those questions for thousands of years, the focus that day was to assess how we have done and what we have learned in the past 150 years—the Boston College era—and where we might be headed.

The opening session, "Historical Trajectories 1863-2013" and "Contemporary Issues and Approaches," followed by a keynote address by journalist and professor E.J. Dionne. A private dinner for religious, civic and academic leaders closed with a panel discussion on "Working for the Common Good in Boston" that featured diverse leaders of influential local organizations. A summary of each session follows here; speaker biographies and complete transcripts, along with photographs and audio and video recordings of the entire event, are available at bc.edu/boisi-rdcg.

alent in situations where one religious tradition cannot meet its needs alone and requires the assistance of another. Nancy Ammerman affirmed the value of similar small-scale interactions between people of different faiths, stressing that relationships formed in pursuit of one or more mutually held goods is essential to bridging diversity, while cautioning that this process cannot operate within an “enclave mentality.” As a concrete example, Reza Aslan touted the success of Interfaith Youth Core’s commitment to shared community action, suggesting that the experience of cooperation would be more fruitful than dialogue alone, and he referenced the ways in which public challenges to one religion’s freedoms—like the legislative attempts to ban Sharia law—often garner the support of other religious groups. Randall Kennedy added a helpful voice of realism to the conversation, questioning excessively optimistic notions of the common good that ignore its sometimes ideological uses by majorities to oppress minorities and insisting on the need for some boundaries to diversity in the name of the common good. Audience questions dealt with intentionality in interreligious dialogue and how schools could provide non-academic avenues for bridging religious diversity.

Echoing themes from the second panel,

E. J. Dionne argued in his keynote that collective action for the common good is the best way to connect across religious differences. If religious adherents should be able to contribute to public life in a diverse society, he said, the fact of pluralism imposes dual obligations upon them: to tolerate the rights of others to express their beliefs in public, and to explain one’s own convictions in an accessible, rather than parochial, fashion. Dionne cited the success of “civil rights Christianity,” which brought faith commitments to the public square, as proof that such engagement need neither obliterate distinctiveness nor devolve into relativism. He expressed a fear, however, that exclusively political engagement might

oigtne

tt

suche

Former Irish President Mary McAleese spoke to a packed room at the Boise Center on October 29 about her decades of peace and reconciliation work as well as her historic fourteen-year presidency, which ended in 2011. Irish presidents are heads of state, not government, a fact that allowed McAleese to embrace the pastoral dimension of the position. She used her office to promote respect for the claims, and wounds, of Irish Protestants

Jews should embrace, not fear, the reemerging universalistic outlook in their community, Boisi Center director Alan Wolfe argued at the Center's inaugural lunch event of the semester.

Assimilation is a prospect faced by all minorities in America. Some groups respond by embracing it wholeheartedly; others turn inward to resist the possibility. In his new book *At Home in Exile: Why Diaspora Is Good for the Jews* Alan Wolfe argues in favor of the current rebirth of a universalistic outlook among American Jews.



Boisi Center director Alan Wolfe, speaking before his September 29 lunch talk.

Wolfe explained that the Holocaust and the creation of the State of Israel—both monumental and particularistic events—prompted American Jews to focus their attentions for decades on the well-being of their own community, and were encouraged in doing so, Wolfe argued, by the American Jewish establishment. In recent years, however, as a result of the fading memory of the two most important Jewish events of the twentieth century, the establishment has lost its hold on the minds of American Jews, especially among the younger generations. These Jews have adopted a more universalistic attitude of late: they exhibit more openness to intermixing with other cultures as well as concern for the well-being of other peoples.

This is positive, Wolfe argued, for both Jews and for the wider society. Jews' history in the Diaspora makes them particularly adept at understanding the suf

ferings of other minorities, and they have a great capacity to benefit all of society if their attentions are directed outward.

Wolfe acknowledged concerns many Jews have with universalism, especially its connection to assimilation and intermarriage and the threats these pose to the continuity of the Jewish people. All minority groups face this challenge, Wolfe explained, with many facing far higher intermarriage rates than do the Jews. It is moreover important to remember that, due to its history of exile, Judaism is adept at traveling and transforming. The richness and beauty of Yiddish culture results from the mixture of its Jewish and Eastern European roots, for example. Similarly, Jews have already contributed a tremendous amount to American culture, and Wolfe expects good things as they continue to contribute with this reemerging universalistic outlook.

➔ www.bc.edu/boisi-wolfe

Despite their seeming incompatibility, the Catholic teachings of “just war” and nonviolence are in fact complementary, argued Rev. Drew Christiansen, S.J., at a Boisi Center lunch on November 6.

The tradition of “just war theory” has guided the Catholic Church's response to conflict in some form for nearly 1,500 years. In recent decades, however, the Church has come to place more emphasis on nonviolent resistance, a tradition more closely associated with the early Christian period before Constantine. On November 6, Rev. Drew Christiansen, S.J., visited the Boisi Center to discuss this shift to nonviolence in Catholic thought.

Christiansen presented the different steps in the transition chronologically, beginning with the Second Vatican Council in 1963, whose praise of nonviolent activists set a foundation for the steady return to nonviolent thinking. The Council's message was not one of pacifism, though, as it also stressed the legitimacy of using force to promote justice in

the world. The 1983 American bishops' pastoral letter “The Challenge of Peace” signaled another step when it described just war and nonviolence as distinct but intertwined teachings. Though they may seem incompatible, Christiansen argued that shared presumption against the use of force and emphases on active resistance enable them to coexist within Church teaching.

Pope John Paul II's encyclical *Centesimus Annus* (1991) credited nonviolent resisters with ending World War II and urged peaceful conflict resolution. Two years later, the American bishops published another pastoral letter, “The Harvest of Justice is Sown in Peace,” which placed nonviolence at the core of the Catholic response to conflict.



Rev. Drew Christiansen, S.J., at the Boisi Center on November 6.


The Church continues to recognize the right of states to go to war, but only after chances for peaceful settlement have been exhausted; the teaching of nonviolence thus ultimately ends in the tradition of just war, and not in pacifism.

➔ www.bc.edu/boisi-christiansen

MANDELA: LONG WALK TO FREEDOM

The fourth annual Boisi Center Symposium on Religion and Politics is examining religious diversity and the common good this year, in conjunction with the November 13 sesquicentennial conference (see pp. 2–3). A group of graduate and undergraduate students meet each month

at the Center to discuss the virtues and challenges of religious diversity in a liberal society. Discussions center around each session's readings—comprised of primary texts, essays, and articles—and also incorporate the expertise and knowledge of the students in the room.



Readings have thus far examined historical and contemporary religious demographics as well as the tradition of free exercise of religion in America. Next semester we will examine religious freedom and tolerance from a comparative theological perspective, look at different approaches to religious freedom.

Participants in the Boisi Center's 2013-2014 Student Symposium on Religion and Politics. Pictured are graduate students Yael Hungerford, Kate Jackson, Chris Conway, Stephanie Coriglino, and Cooper McCullough (l to r). Not pictured are undergraduates Catherine Larrabee, Ben Miyamoto, Catherine Putko, and John Wiley.

Nonviolent Campaigning for Nuclear Disarmament
Joseph Gerson, American Friends Service Committee